

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,782	08/08/2006	Sang Yup Lee	4240-148	8819
23448 Hultquist IP	7590 11/03/201	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1432		VOGEL, NANCY TREPTOW		
RESEARCH	RIANGLE PARK, NC	27709	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,782	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NANCY VOGEL	1636		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

S	Stat	us			
"					

Any	re to reply within the set or extension price of the payment, by statute, cause the application to decome ADANDONED (55.0.5.5, § 155), reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any set patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2011.
2a) 🛛	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.
3)	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on
	; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
4)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1.6.7 and 9-13 is/are pending in the application.
	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
6)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>1, 6, 7, 9-13</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
9)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
12)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ☐ All b   Some * c   None of:
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
A41h	(A)
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	se of Draffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date

3) Information Displacture Statement(s) (PTO/SE/03) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/588,782

Art Unit: 1636

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 6, 7, 9-13 are pending in the case.

Any rejection of record in the previous action not addressed in this office action is withdrawn. There are no new grounds of rejection that were not necessitated by applicants' amendment and therefore, this action is final.

The following is a new rejection necessitated by applicant's amendment:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as now claimed: "the C terminal end (nucleotides 1156-1347) of the fadL gene" (claim 1); "fadL gene (GenBank Accession No. U00096)". This is a new matter rejection. The specification does not provide sufficient blazemarks nor direction for the instant methods encompassing the above-mentioned limitations, as currently recited. The instant claims now recite limitations which were not clearly disclosed in the specification

Application/Control Number: 10/588,782

Art Unit: 1636

as-filed, and now change the scope of the instant disclosure as-filed. Such limitations recited in the present claims, which did not appear in the specification, as filed. introduce new concepts and violate the description requirement of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. It is noted that applicant states that the reference in exhibit B. i.e. Cristalli (Arch. Biochm. Biophys. 377, 2, 324-333, 2000) provides support for the amendment. However, it is noted that the reference does not disclose these particular nucleotides correspond to "a base sequence following the ninth loop of the fadL gene is truncated" (page 6 of the specification) which is the support in the specification. There is no recitation in the specification in support of these particular nucleotides. There is no incorporation by reference of the Cristalli reference in the specification. Furthermore, even if the material disclosed by Cristalli were incorporated into the specification, as stated above there is no disclosure therein that "following the ninth loop of the fadL gene" corresponds to the deletion of nucleotides 1156-1347 of fadL. Furthermore, there is no disclosure in the specification of the fadL gene found in GenBank Accession No. U00096, as currently recited in the claims.

The following is a new rejection necessitated by applicant's amendment:

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 and by dependence claims 6, 7, 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1636

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Exparte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "a fadL gene", and the claim also recites "GenBank Accession No. U00096" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Furthermore, the claim recites "the C terminal end" which is the broad recitation, and "(nucleotides 1156-1347)" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. In the interest of compact prosecution, it is assumed that the fadL gene whose sequence is shown in GenBank Accession No. U00096 is intended, and nucleotides 1156-1347, is intended.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/588,782

Art Unit: 1636

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY VOGEL whose telephone number is (571)272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:30, Monday - Friday, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,782 Page 6

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NANCY VOGEL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636 NV 11/2/11